United States o				
DEFENDANT	MACARTHUR REED THE NORTHERN D	-		L_AMOI
	JUDGMENT AND FRANKING N/COMMITMEN			45 (0/24)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	моитн 10	DAY 30	YEAR 85
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupo	and asked wheth	er defendant	desired to
	LXX) WITH COUNSEL Roy W. Byars, Appointed Counsel (Name of counsel)	U.S.	· · · · ·	J
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	L DHOE	N I	
	There being a finding/WINDEX of SUILTY. Defendant is discharged	으ଳ		
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Section 371 as charged in count 1 of the indictment	l Tide 11	3, U.S.	C.,
JUDGMENT	The Court finds no restitution is required.			
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Expressed to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and conversely committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprison Count 1 - Three (3) years, pursuant to Title 18, 4205(b) (2).	victed and ordered conment for a perio	d that: The de od of	efendant is
SPECIAL CONDITIONS				
OF PROBATION				
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the genereverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	or extend the per	riod of probati	ion, and at
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, Approved as to form: Layn R. Philadas U.S. Attorney	a certified co and commitm	that the Clerk opy of this ju nent to the U. qualified offic	dgment S. Mar-
XX U.S. Distr	ict Judge			
U.S. Magi	THOMAS R. BRETT Date 10-30-85			

FILED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) OCT 2 9 1985
. Plaintiff,	Jook C. Silver, Clork C. S. Sistrict Court
vs.	No. 85-CR-52-BT
KENNETH GLENN VANCE,	· ·
Defendant.)

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on defendant Kenneth Glenn Vance's motion for reduction or modification of sentence, pursuant to Rule 35, F.R.Crim.P. Defendant pleaded guilty to one count of having violated 18 U.S.C. § 659, a felony, and was sentenced to five (5) years in the custody of the Attorney General.

The Court has reviewed the sentence given defendant Vance and the briefs submitted by the parties on the issue of reduction or modification. After careful consideration, the Court concludes the current sentence is appropriate, just, and reasonable. The motion for reduction or modification is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 28 day of October, 1985.

THOMAS R. BRETT

United States of	of America vs.	•	United	States	Distr	iet Co	urt fo
DEFENDANT	L		L NOF	THE DIS	TRICT OF	OKLAHO	<u>MA</u>
	RUBEN_HERNANDEZ		l	KET NO. ➤ 🗀	85-CR-1	03-C	
	JUDGMENT AN	DEPROBA	TON/COM	MITMEN	HT ORD	≓R! ,o	ntaya
	In the presence of the attorney the defendant appeared in pers		 		MONTH 10	DAY 28	YEAR 1985
COUNSEL	without counsel		d by the court and the	defendant thereup	on waived assist	ance of counse	
	WITH COUNSEL L	Richard Wi	nterbottom,	Federal I	Public De	<u>fender</u>	
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court the there is a factual basis for		NOLO C	ONTENDERE,	NO	T GUILTY	
	There being a finding A Court of	f				-	
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted a \$841(a)(1) as cha				i Title 2	21, U.S.	.C.,
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant was shown, or appeared to the conhereby committed to the custody of FIVE ANI U.S.C., \$4205(b) (Five (5) Years.	urt, the court adjudged of the Attorney General of ONE-HALF (5	the defendant guilty or his authorized repre	as charged and consentative for impris	victed and orde sonment for a pe	red that: The riod of	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS	IT IS FU the United States amount of \$50.00.	JRTHER ORDERS of America	ED that the a special a	defendant assessment	in the	o L E	D
OF PROBATION						2 8 1985	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditio reverse side of this judgment be in any time during the probation per probation for a violation occurring	ns of probation imposed nposed. The Court may o lod or within a maximu	hange the conditions on probation period o	of probation, reduc	e or extend the p	RICT COL probation so period of prob	Ji j et out on the lation, and at
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment	to the custody of the	Attorney General a	and recommends,	It is ordere a certified and comm	d that the Cle copy of this itment to the er qualified of	judgment U.S. Mar-
SIGNED BY SIGNED BY U.S. Distr U.S. Magi		elela b	Date Octob	er_28,_19	J 85	* <i>;</i> *	

00T 28 1985

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Jack C. Silver, Clar.
Plaintiff,	U. S. DISTRICT COURT
v.) No. 84-CR-33-BT
RICKEY DEAN HICKS,)
Defendant.) }

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on defendant Rickey Dean Hicks's Motion to Reduce Sentence, pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. For the reasons stated below, the motion is denied.

Defendant pleaded guilty and was convicted in this Court of theft of goods from interstate commerce in violation of 18 U.S.C. §659. On May 20, 1985, this Court imposed upon the defendant a three-year sentence, imposed a \$50 special assessment and ordered him to make restitution in the amount of \$4,972.66 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3579.

Defendant now asks this Court to reconsider his sentence. The Court has carefully reviewed the sentence given defendant Rickey Dean Hicks and concludes that the current sentence is appropriate, just and reasonable. Defendant's Motion to Reduce Sentence is hereby denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 28 day of October, 1985.

DEFENDANT	TINOTHY ALLEN QUATTROCCHI	85-CR-102-	<u></u>
	L DOCKET NO. → L		
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMEN	NT ORDER	AO-245 (8/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH 2	DAY YEAR
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereu Richard Winterbetton, FPD (Name of counsel)	and the section of the section of	-6
	₹		
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOT G	UNLTENS5
	There being a finding/verdict of WILTY. Defendant is discharged GUILTY.	Jedy C. 3 US DET	Mary Coll
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violate. Section 657, as chargedlin counts 1,3 & 5 of the	d Title 18, Indictment	U.S.C.,
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to sav why judgment should not be pronounced. was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and conhereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for impri COURTS 163 - FIVE (5) YEARS, as to each court, so court 1. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of sant and the defendant is placed on probation for per TEARS from this date.	nvicted and ordered to isonment for a period natence impentance im	that: The defendant of cosed in cosed in cosed in
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and conhereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprice COUNTS 163 - PIVE (5) YEARS, as to each count, so count 3 to run consecutively with second 1. IT IS PURTUER ORDERED that the execution of sont	nvicted and ordered to isonment for a period natence impentance impentance impentance impentance in sustained of PIVZ	that: The defendant of of oped in osed in oped in oped in oped in opended (5)
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and conhereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprice COUNTS 143 - PIVE (5) YEARS, as to each count, as count 3 to run consecutively with secount 1. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of sentent and the defendant is placed on probation for peritable from this date. COUNT 5 - The imposition of sentence is suspended.	nvicted and ordered to isonment for a period nature imperature imp	that: The defendant of of osed in osed
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and conhereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprice COUNTS 163 - FIVE (5) YEARS, as to each count, as count 3 to run consecutively with account 1. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of sent and the defendant is placed on probation for periods. COUNT 5 - The imposition of sentance is suspended is placed on probation for a period of. The defendant is ORDERED to make resitituion purious additional conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the generous side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reducing the during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permit	nvicted and ordered to isonment for a period nature imperiod and the imperiod of PIVE days (5) Years to T. It is ordered the a certified copy	that: The defendant of the color of the colo

FILED IN OPEN COURT

OCT	2	8	1985
-----	---	---	------

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Dack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

vs.

TIMOTHY ALLEN QUATTROCCHI,

Defendant.

No. 85-CR-102-E

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts Two, Four and Six of the Indictment against TIMOTHY ALLEN QUATTROCCHI, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

Date: Oct . 25, 1985

United States District Judge

United States o) .	era er		District Court for RICT OF OKLAHOMA
DEFENDANT	Stark Truck Ser			85-CR-190-E
	JUDGMENT A	ND PROBAT	ION/COMMITMEN	IT ORDER AO-245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorn the defendant appeared in p	· ·		MONTH DAY YEAR 10 28 85
COUNSEL	without counsel		by the court and the defendant thereup	and asked whether defendant desired to oon waived assistance of counsel.
PLEA	GUILTY, and the cou		(Name of counsel)	Not Guigt 8 1935
	There being a finding	NOT GUI	LTY. Defendant is discharged	Jadk C. Silver, Clerk U.S. District COU.
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicte Section 1014,	ed as charged of the offen	$\mathrm{sc}(s)$ of having violated	i Title 18, U.S.C.,
	was shown, or appeared to the	court, the court adjudged th	,	Because no sufficient cause to the contrary victed and ordered that: The defendant is sonment for a period of
SENTENCE OR	<u></u>	TWO (2)	YEARS.	
PROBATION ORDER	IT IS PURTUER C and the defende YEARS from this	nt is placed o	e imposition of sent n probation for a po	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	1		ake restitution in t termined by the prob	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	reverse side of this judgment be	e imposed. The Court may ch period or within a maximum	ange the conditions of probation, reduc probation period of five years permit	neral conditions of probation set out on the ce or extend the period of probation, and a ted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitme	ent to the custody of the A	Attorney General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY U.S. Distr		O. Rilison	Date 19-28-85	J

United State	es of America vs. United State	es District Court for
DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO.	
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITME	NT ORDER AO 245 (9:82)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH DAY YEAR
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and a counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waive	
	(Name of Counsel)	FILED
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that L	OCT 2 5 1985
	There being a finding/yerdict of GUILTY. Defendant is discharged	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of the particle of the convicted as charged of the offense(s) of the particle of the convicted as charged of the offense(s) of the particle of the convicted as charged of the offense(s) of the particle of the convicted as charged of the offense(s) of the particle of the convicted as charged of the offense(s) of the particle of the particle of the particle of the offense(s) of the particle	
·		
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Be was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convibereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonments of the custody of the Attorney Gener	cted and ordered that: The defendant is ent for a period of
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	e or or sa supun. Do or count i, knd oc fine isli i resou as to the remaining counts.	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the gener reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduc at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years per revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	e or extend the period of probation, and
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
		CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON
SIGNED BY		THIS DATE
U.S. Dis	trict Judge	By
U.S. Ma	Date	J ()CLERK J ()DEPUTY

	JAMES ALLAN CHANDLER			5-CR-10	5-02-B	
		DOCKET N				4 (20) 200-7
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION	ON/COMMI	TMENT	CORDE	R AO	245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		-	MONTH 10	DAY 24	YEAR 85
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court adv have counsel appointed b WITH COUNSEL B. Duane Riffe	y the court and the defend		ı waived assistar	nce of couns	el.
	WITH COOKSEL CLASSICS	(Name of	counset)	(90T2-4	1505 -
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	L NOLO CONTE	NDERE,	L	GUL B IN DISTRIC	ver, Cler T COU:
	NOT GUIL	TY. Defendant is disc	harged			
}	There being a finding/verdict of GUILTY.	hawina w	4.1	- -) 1 TT (2 6
INDING & UDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense section.	c(s) of Section	2, as c	harged :	in the) + W + y
}						
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why					
OR >	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or had a pail-type institute execution of the remainder the defendant is placed on proto commence upon the defendant	defendant guilty as char is authorized representati fon that the ution for a p of sentence bation for a	ged and convi- ve for imprisor defenda eriod o is here period	cted and ordere nment for a perion to the control of the control o	d that: The od of L be 5) MON1 Inded 4 E (3)	defendant
ROBATION ORDER	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or h THREE (3) YEARS, on the condit confined in a jail-type instit the execution of the remainder the defendant is placed on pro-	defendant guilty as char is authorized representati ion that the ution for a p of sentence bation for a 'a release fr	ged and convi- ve for imprisor defenda eriod o is here period	cted and ordere ment for a period to the control of	d that: The od of L be be maded as (3)	CRS,
OR ROBATION ORDER	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or hereby committed in a jail-type institute execution of the remainder the defendant is placed on protes commence upon the defendant. Defendant is ORDERED to pay an	e defendant guilty as char its authorized representati fon that the ution for a p of sentence bation for a 's release fr	ged and convive for imprisor defenda eriod o is here period of \$50.0	cted and ordered ment for a period to the shall of SIX (c) by suspendent of THRES deems.	d that: The od of L be 5) MONT maded is (3) Y	rns, and rnas
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or hereby committed in a jail-type instit the execution of the remainder the defendant is placed on protes commence upon the defendant. Defendant is ORDERED to pay an by Probation Office. IT IS ORDERED that the execution December 2, 1985, at which time	e defendant guilty as char its authorized representati ion that the ution for a p of sentence bation for a 'a release fr cove, it is hereby ordered ingo the conditions of probation period of five y	ged and convive for imprisor defende eriod of the here period confidence confidence that the generation, reduce the form of the confidence confidence that the generation, reduce the form of the confidence conf	cted and ordered ment for a period to the last of the	d that: The od of L be 5) MONT maded is (3) 1	rrs, and rrans and report
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or hereby committed in a jail-type institute in a jail-type institute accuration of the remainder the execution of the remainder the defendant is placed on proto commence upon the defendant. Defendant is ORDERED to pay an by Probation Office. IT IS ORDERED that the execution december 2, 1985, at which time the designated institution. In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed at reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may character the during the probation period or within a maximum time during the probation period or within a maximum	e defendant guilty as char its authorized representati ion that the ution for a p of sentence bation for a 's release fx assessment of the defendance bove, it is hereby ordered ingo the conditions of probation period of five y i.	ged and convive for imprisor defende eriod of the here period confidence confidence that the generoation, reduce eyears permitted	cted and ordered ment for a period to the last of the	d that: The od of L be 5) MONT maded is (3) 1	rrs, and rrans and report
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or hereby committed in a jail-type institute accuration of the remainder the execution of the remainder the defendant is placed on proto commence upon the defendant. Defendant is ORDERED to pay and by Probation Office. IT IS ORDERED that the execution december 2, 1985, at which time the designated institution. In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed at reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the designation of the probation period or within a maximum probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	e defendant guilty as char its authorized representati ion that the ution for a p of sentence bation for a 's release fx assessment of the defendance bove, it is hereby ordered ingo the conditions of probation period of five y i.	ged and convive for imprisor defende eriod of the here period confidence confidence that the generoation, reduce eyears permitted	tt is ordered a certified of a certi	d that: The od of L be S) MONT and d S (3) 1 Frobation seriod of probassue a warrant that the Clean of this ment to the	IRS, IRARS ACC Set out on the pation, and ant and revoke the pation of the pation o
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION DAMMITMENT ECOMMEN	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or hereby committed in a jail-type institute accuration of the remainder the execution of the remainder the defendant is placed on proto commence upon the defendant. Defendant is ORDERED to pay and by Probation Office. IT IS ORDERED that the execution december 2, 1985, at which time the designated institution. In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed at reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the designation of the probation period or within a maximum probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	e defendant guilty as char its authorized representati ion that the ution for a p of sentence bation for a 's release fx assessment of the defendance bove, it is hereby ordered ingo the conditions of probation period of five y i.	ged and convive for imprisor defende eriod of the here period confidence confidence that the generoation, reduce eyears permitted	ted and ordered a certified of a committee of a committee of a committee of a certified of and committee of a certified of a certifi	d that: The od of L be S) MONT and d S (3) 1 Frobation seriod of probassue a warrant that the Clean of this ment to the	report. Set out on thoation, and ant and revokerk deliver judgment U.S. Mar-

United States of	,	United States I	District Court for
DEFENDANT	BORBY JOE PLETCHER	DOCKET NO. > 85-	CR-105-01-E
4	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION	ON/COMMITMENT	ORDER A0-245 (8/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH DAY YEAR 10 24 85
COUNSEL		ised defendant of right to counsel and y the court and the defendant thereupon (Name of counsel)	
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,		U.S. DISTRICT COUL
	There being a finding Action of Suit Not Guilty.	TY. Defendant is discharged	
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense Section 843(b) & Title 18, U.S.	$\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{s})$ of having violated \mathbf{c} ., Section 2, as character	fitle 21, U.S.C., arged in the
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or ITHREE (3) YEARE, on the conditional in a jail—type institute execution of the remainder the defendant is placed on proto COMMENCE upon the defendant	e defendant guilty as charged and convictions authorized representative for imprison for that the defendantion for a period of contents is here.	ted and ordered that: The defendant is ment for a period of a shall be f SIX (6) MONTHS, by suspended and of THREE (3) YEARS
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	Defendant is ORDERED to pay an by Probation Office. IT IS ORDERED that the executi December 2, 1985, at which time to the designated institution.	on of this sentuace the defendant will	is deferred until
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed a reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may cha any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation for a violation occurring during the probation period	inge the conditions of probation, reduce of probation period of five years permitted	r extend the period of probation, and at
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the A	ttorney General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY U.S. Distr		Date 10-24-85	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.	<u> </u>
GARY PINALTO,	Ś
Defendant.) No. 84-CR-32-02-E

MOTION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss without prejudice the Indictment against GARY PINALTO defendant. This motion for dismissal without prejudice is made based on the considerations that the defendant has been convicted of other matters and is presently incarcerated in a federal institution. Since no factual determinations of guilt have been made in the instant matter, it appears that the interests of justice will be best serve by dismissal without prejudice.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing motion to dismiss and the Court hereby orders dismissal of the requested counts of the Indictment without prejudice.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

United States District Judge

Date: October 16, 1985

nited States of	America vs.	United States I	District Court for ICT OF OKLAHOHA
DEFENDANT	SUSAN M. TIPPIR	85-	-CR-90-02-E
	JUDGMENT AND PROBAT	ION/COMMITMENT	ORDER A0-245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH DAY YEAR 10 85
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court a have counsel appointed Charles Pope		d asked whether defendant desired to waived assistance of counsel.
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	(Name of counsel)	NOT GVILTY
	There being a finding/verdier of There being a finding/verdier of GUILTY Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offer	ILTY. Defendant is discharged inse(s) of having violated	Title 18, U.S.C.,
FINDING & UDGMENT	Section 1014 & 2(b), as charg	ed in the indictment.	
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say we was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committee to the costed of the Atlanta, court all	the defendant guilty as charged and convi-	ted and ordered that: The detenuant is
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The imposition of sentence is on probation for a period of		
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	•		
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may dany time during the probation period or within a maximular probation for a violation occurring during the probation pe	m probation period of five years permitted	
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the	Attorney General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION			and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
U.S. Magi	Janes 10 21 31 200 0 0 0	10-10-85	

inited States of				
DEFENDANT	SUSAN M. TIPPIE DOCKET NO.	85-CR-91-		^
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITME	NT ORDE	R AO	245 (8/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	Монтн	18 ^{AY}	YEAR 85
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counse have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant theret Charles Pope, Retained	l and asked wheth upon wajved assistar	er defendant nce of counse	t desired to
	WITH COUNSEL (Name of counsel)	· ·	 . U 198 5	
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOT	GUILTY Sm ວິລີ, seco	
	There being a finding Nerdict of SulLTY. Defendant is discharged GUILTY.	U. S. D IST		
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violate Sections 3/1,1014 & 2(b) & 3. as charged in the	ed Title : Indictmen	18, U.S E.	s.c.,
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounce was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and concern to the coston of the Attorney General with a thindress the concernment of the coston of the Attorney General with a substitution of sentence defendant is placed on profit to run concurrently with a first concurrently with the concurrent with t	is suspendent for the same of the suspendent for the same to the same of the s	ded and a peri	the lod of ints,
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION				
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, recany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years per probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.			
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommen	It is ordere a certified and comm	d that the Cl copy of this itment to the er qualified o	judgment : U.S. Mar-
SIGNED BY U.S. Dist	rict Judge distrate James 10. Billiags Date			

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	OCT 1 0 1985
Plaintiff,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. BISTRICT COURT
vs.)	G. S. SISTRICT COURT
STEVEN WADE LOVELL,	į	
Defendant.)	No. 85-CR-122-B

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss without prejudice the Indictment against, STEVEN WADE LOVELL, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
United States District Judge

Date: October 9, 1985

FILED
OCT 2 1985

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JACK C. SILVER. CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 84-CR-128-C
HOLLIS E. CAVNER,)
Defendant.)

ORDER OF REVOCATION

On the 5th day of April, 1985, came the attorney for the government, and the defendant appeared in person and by counsel.

IT WAS ADJUDGED that the defendant, upon a finding of guilty, was convicted of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., §1014, as charged in Count 3 of the Indictment.

IT WAS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the imposition of sentence be suspended and the defendant was placed on probation for a period of Five (5) years. It was further ordered that the defendant make restitution to Valley National Bank in the amount of \$29,925.00. It was further ordered that the defendant pay a fine unto the United States of America in the amount of \$5,000.00.

Thereafter, and on October 3, 1985, there having been filed an application by the supervising probation officer that the defendant's probation be revoked and the grounds therefor being set thereon, and upon approval of the Court, a warrant of arrest of said probationer was issued.

Thereafter, and on October 9, 1985, pursuant to said warrant, the probationer, Hollis E. Cavner, appeared before the U. S. District Court. The Court directed the Probation Officer to recite and advise the Court and defendant the grounds of revocation. The probationer, having been given a written notice of the alleged violation of probation, and having been given an opportunity to present evidence in his own behalf and to question witnesses against him, waived his right to a preliminary hearing and a revocation hearing before the District Court. Defendant admitted that he was in violation of the conditions of probation as alleged and requested the Court to proceed with the sentencing.

Wherefore, the Court finds that the defendant has violated the terms of his probation and that probation should be revoked.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Order of Probation, entered on April 5, 1985, be revoked and set aside.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant, Hollis E. Cavner, is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of Two (2) Years.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court deliver a certified copy of this Order of Revocation to the U. S. Marshal or other qualified officer and that the copy serve as the commitment of the defendant.

DATED at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 9th day of October, 1985.

H. DALE COOK Chief Judge

a le book

	of America vs. United States District C	
	LOORTHER DISTRICT OF OKLAHO	LA <u>M</u> C
EFENDAN	LOUIS NATHAN RAY DOCKET NO. > 84-CR-59-01-C	
3	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER	AO-245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date MONTH DAY 10 0	
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant the defendant thereupon waived assistance of court and the defendant thereupon waived as the court and the defendant thereupon waived as the court and the defendant thereupon waived as the court and the defendant the court and the defendant thereupon waived as the court and the defendant the defendant the court and the defendant the court	ounsel.
	X WITH COUNSEL Leonard Sparks, III, retained F L	-E-D
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT RULL there is a factual basis for the plea,	
	There being a fixediage/verdict of LX GUILTY. Defendant is discharged LX GUILTY.	ilver, Clerk RICT COUR
INDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 21, U §§841(a)(1), 846, 848, 922(h)(1), 924(a), and Title 26, U.S.C §7206(1), as charged in Counts 1, 2, 5 and 6 of the Indictmen	• ,
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cau was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of	se to the contrary The defendant i
SENTENCE Or	Count 1 - the imposition of sentence is hereby suspend determination of Count 2.	ed pendi:
ROBATION ORDER	Count 2 - Fifteen (15) years, together with a fine, pa to the United States of America in the amount of \$15,000.00.	yable
SPECIAL	Count 5 - Four (4) years.	
ONDITIONS OF PROBATION	imposed in Count 5. Count 5 shall run concurrent to the sent	entence ence
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is nevely ordered that the general conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of	
OF PROBATION	probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	
OF PROBATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, It is ordered that the a certified copy of	ne Clerk deliver

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DARCAS JEAN CRAWFORD,

Defendant.

No. 85-CR-86-E

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Count One of the Indictment against DARCAS JEAN CRAWFORD, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS

United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

Date: 1/0/3/85

nited States of	America vs. United States L NORTHERN LISTRIC	Distric		LPT for
DEFENDANT	DARCAS JEAN CRAWFORD DOCKET NO. > 8	S-CR-86-	E	
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT	ORDE	R AO-2	45 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH 10	3 3	YEAR 85
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon **Exact Bedford, Ct. Apptd.** (Name of counsel)	asked whether waived assistan	er defendant ce of counsel.	desired to
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOT	GUILTY	ī
=	There being a finding/variet of Suitty. Defendant is discharged I GUILTY. I GUILTY.			
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of Sections 1/05 a 20/, as charged in Counts two and radictment.	Title 1 three oi	(8, U.S ! the	.c.,
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Be was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convinced committee to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convinced committee to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convinced convinced to the court and convinced convinced to the court and convinced convinced to the court and convinced to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convinced to the court and convi	nded and r a per:	i the Lod of count,	SCIENCIAN IS
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant make restitut of \$289.00, payable to Dick Bardon Pawn Shap, and \$50.00.	ion in an asse	the amo	unt of
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the gene reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	ral conditions of or extend the p d by law, may	of probation s period of prob issue a warrar	et out on the pation, and a nt and revoke
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,	It is ordere	d that the Cle	erk deliver
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION		and comm	copy of this itment to the er qualified o	U.S. Mar-
SIGNED BY U.S. Dist				

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	06T - 3 1985
Plaintiff,) look C. Silver, Clerk STRICT CO
Vs.))
ANTHONY F. CATERINE,)
Defendant.) No. 8 5 -CR-59-01-E

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice COUNT ONE and COUNT THREE of the INDICTMENT, against ANTHONY F. CATERINE.

JOHN S. MORGAN
Assistant United States Attorney
3600 U. S. Courthouse
Tulsa, OK. 74103
(918) 581-7463

T

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

United States District Judge

Date: October 3, 1985

nited States of A		District (
DEFENDANT	BOBSY G. BOLLES DOCKET NO.		
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT	T ORDER	AO-245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH DA	Y YEAR
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel ar have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon	nd askëd whether def n waived assistance of	endant desired to counsel.
	WITH COUNSEL Jane Ann CObb, Retained (Name of counsel)		
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOT GUI	-TY, .
	There being a finding/************************************		
	- Color Color Color - Colorado a Colorada Alemanda Alem	d Title 18.	v.s.c.,
FINDING &	Section 2342(a), as charged in Count two of the I	Melerment.	
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	COUNT 2 - The imposition of sentence is suspended is placed on probation for a period of this date. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant pay a FIRE or payments as determined by the Probation office. P	# \$5,990.00	, in
SPECIAL CONDITIONS	year.		
OF PROBATION			
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the gen reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reducion time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permits probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	neral conditions of pro- e or extend the period ted by law, may issue	pation set out on th of probation, and a a warrant and revok
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,	It is ordered that	the Clerk deliver
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION		a certified copy	of this judgment t to the U.S. Mar-
SIGNED BY	rict Judge 🔪		
U.S. Magi		j	
L 0.3. Widgi	James C.Ellison Date 10-3-85	1	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA FILED IN OPEN COURT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, Jack C. Silver, Cl CISTRICT C BOBBY G. BOLLES, Defendant. No. 85-CR-54-02-E

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice COUNT ONE and COUNT FOUR of the INDICTMENT against BOBBY G. BOLLES, defendant.

JOHN S. MORGAN Assistant United States Attorney 460 U. S. Courthouse Tulsa, OK. 74103 (918) 581-7463

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

United States District Judge

Date: October 3, 1985

Entered

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Marian de Cara

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GARY LESTER PINALTO,

Defendant.

ORDER

Pursuant to Rule 35(b), F.R.Crim.P., the Court hereby reduces the sentence imposed on defendant on June 20, 1985. Defendant was convicted of one count of violation of 18 U.S.C. §§1014 and 2, knowingly making a false statement for the purpose of influencing the action of a federally insured bank, and one count of violation of 18 U.S.C. §1343, use of interstate communication system to execute a scheme to defraud. On June 20, 1985, defendant was sentenced to three years probation on Count 3 (18 U.S.C. §§1014 and 2), and to three years on Count 6 (18 U.S.C. §1343), on the condition defendant be confined in a jail type or treatment institution for six months, the execution of the remainder of the sentence to be suspended and defendant to be placed on probation for the remaining 30 months. In addition, the Court ordered defendant to make restitution in the amount of \$69,500.

In his motion for reduction of sentence, defendant urges the Court to modify his sentence because of severe and undue hardship on him and his family. Defendant urges his sentence be reduced so that he can be released from prison early and begin supporting his family

and making restitution as ordered by this Court.

The Court has reviewed the sentence given defendant and the affidavit in support of defendant's motion and hereby reduces the sentence as of October 27, 1985, to 90 days confinement in a jail type or treatment institution and defendant to be placed on probation for the remaining 33 months of his 3 year sentence. Defendant is still ordered to make restitution in the amount of \$69,500.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 3nd day of October, 1985.

nited States of A	merica vs. Uni		District Court for RICT OF OKLABOMA
EFENDANT	ANTHONY F. CATERINE	DOCKET NO.	85-CR-59-01-E
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/	COMMITMEN	T ORDER A0 245 (6/74)
	n the presence of the attorney for the government he defendant appeared in person on this date	-	MONTH DAY YEAR 10 3 85
COUNSEL	have counsel appointed by the cou	irt and the defendant thereupo	and asked whether defendant desired to on waived assistance of counsel.
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	(Name of counsel) NOLO CONTENDERE,	NOT GLILTY AT T
FINDING & JUDGMENT	There being a finding/vacuum of \(\times \) GUILTY. Detendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of Section 2342(a), as chargedian Cou	naving visition in two of the I	ndictment.
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgme was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defend had a say the court of the court and the court of the court and the court adjudged the defendance of the court of the court and the court adjudged the defendance of the court asked whether court adjudged the court adjudged	ea is suspended of a pay a FIRE of	and the defendant FIVE (5) YEARS from
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	payments as determined by the Prot year.	ation office, ;	eyable within one
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	is hereby ordered that the ge conditions of probation, redu on period of five years permi	eneral conditions of probation set out on t uce or extend the period of probation, and tted by law, may issue a warrant and revo
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorne	y General and recommend	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY U.S. Distri	ct Judge		1
U.S. Magis	James O. Ellison Date	10-3-85	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

No. 82-CR-63-BT

OCT-1 1985

EDWARD LEE FOREMAN,

Defendant.

Defendant.

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on defendant Edward Lee Foreman's request for reduction of sentence, pursuant to Rule 35(b), F.R.Crim.P. On June 17, 1985, the Court sentenced defendant to the custody of the Attorney General for a period of 18 months for violating the conditions of his probation.

The Court has reviewed the sentence given defendant and the reasons set forth in defendant's request for reduction. The Court concludes the sentence should be reduced to a sentence of 120 days in the custody of the Attorney General, with the balance of the 18 months on federal probation. Defendant's request to reduce sentence is hereby granted as specified above.

IT IS SO ORDERED this ______ day of September, 1985.

THOMAS R. BRETT

ited States of A	America vs.	*	District Court for
FENDANT	L		RICT OF OKLAHOMA
	DONALD LYNN BRUMFIELD	DOCKET NO.	A 57 (A) (B)
	JUDGMENT AND PROBAT	ION/COMMITMEN	
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH DAY YEAR 10 1985
OUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court a have counsel appointed	dvised defendant of right to counsel d by the court and the defendant thereup	and asked whether defendant desired to on waived assistance of counsel.
	WITH COUNSEL Michael Ba	ker, retained (Name of counsel)	
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOLO CONTENDERE,	LX NOT GUILTY
		JILTY. Defendant is discharged	
INDING &	There being a from the first of LX GUILTY Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offer \$2313, as charged in the Indi	nsc(s) of having violate	d Title 18, U.S.C.,
IUDGMENT			
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General	or his authorized representative for impr	isonment for a period of
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	FIVE (5) Years, to be confined in a jail type in months; the remainder of which is placed on probation for a years.	in is neveny suspend	ed and the defendant
SPECIAL CONDITIONS	special assessment unto the	DERED that the defend United States of Ame	dant shall pay a rica in the amount
OF PROBATION	of \$50.00.		po 1 in the life
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposes reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may any time during the probation period or within a maxim probation for a violation occurring during the probation probation in the probation of the prob	num probation period of five years pern	general conditions of probation set out on the fuce or extend the portion of probation, and a nitted by law may issue a war hair and revok
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the	ne Attorney General and recommen	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar-
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION			shal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY LX U.S. Dist	24	sock)	J
U.J. Way	H. Dale Cook	Date October 1, 198	35.

9. 1111111

United States of	United States District Court for
Officed States of	THE NORT ERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
DEFENDANT	LASONYA FAYE HURA
	LASONYA FAYE HURA
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER A0-245 (6/74)
	and the second of the second o
	In the presence of the attorney for the government
	the defendant appeared in person on this date 10 85
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.
	(Name of counsel)
750	一个大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大
PLEA	Sthere is a factual basis for the plea,
Transis in the second of the s	There being a finding/vecdickof
	- The Company Andrews Andrews - Table 1 Guilty, 1 機能の the Andrews
	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C.,
FINDING &	Section 495 as charged in Counts 1 & 4 of the Indictment.
JUDGMENT	
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why ladgine in studio in studio in a shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: **NXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	▶ 그렇다 그는 그 회문에 회교회를 가입하다는 집에 아들은 사람들이 되었다. 하는 해 전혀 하다 하다 하다 때문에 하는 것이다.
SENTENCE	Counts 1 & 4 - Imposition of sentence is suspended and the Defendant is placed on probation for a period of
OR PROBATION	Three (3) years as to each count to run concurrent.
ORDER	
SPECIAL	
CONDITIONS	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant make restitution in the amount of \$540.00 to be paid within the first Eighteen (18) months
OF PROBATION	of her probation as directed by the U.S. Probation Office.
ADDITIONAL	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the land addition to the special conditions of probation, and at
CONDITIONS	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation, and at reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation are conditions of probation and at reverse side of this judgment be imposed.
PROBATION	probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends. Northern District of Uklanowa) It is extered that the Clerk deliver
	Approved as to form:
COMMITMENT	and commitment to the U.S. Mar-
RECOMMEN- DATION	is a true copy of the original shall or other qualified officer. Ben F. Baker in this Court.
PAHOR	Asst. U.S. Attorney Jack C. Silver, Clerk
	Da () . (Ours land
SIGNED BY	trict Judge Deputy
U.S. Mar	distrate Management of the state of the stat

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FILE D
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

LASONYA FAYE HURA

Defendant.

No. 85-CR-74-B

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts Two and Three of the Indictment against LASONYA FAYE HURA, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS
United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge

Date: 10-1-85